

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Tradelmank Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

				1
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/609,139	06/27/2003	Henry D. Melendez	MEL3074.03A2	731
8156 75	590 07/14/2004		EXAM	INER
JOHN P. O'BANION			BUI, TH	АСН Н
O'BANION & RITCHEY LLP				
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
400 CAPITOL MALL SUITE 1550			L	
SACRAMENTO, CA 95814			3752	

DATE MAILED: 07/14/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		\\ \ \
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/609,139	MELENDEZ, HENRY D.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Thach H Bui	3752
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a r  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the material earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re eply within the statutory minimum of thirt od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON ute, cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed  y (30) days will be considered timely.  THS from the mailing date of this communication.  ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1)☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a)☐ This action is FINAL. 2b)☑ TI 3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice unde	nis action is non-final. vance except for formal matt	
Disposition of Claims		
<ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 1-42 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd</li> <li>5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6)  Claim(s) 1-39 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7)  Claim(s) 40-42 is/are objected to.</li> <li>8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and</li> </ul>	rawn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	ccepted or b) objected to need to one drawing(s) be held in abeyant ection is required if the drawing	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a li	ents have been received. ents have been received in A riority documents have been eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage
Attachment(s)  1) \( \overline{\text{L}} \) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		ummary (PTO-413)
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)     Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/C Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s	)/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Art Unit: 3752

### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Information Disclosure Statement

1. Applicant's prior art citation filed October 21, 2003 has been received, considered and placed of record.

# Claim Objections

- 2. The numbering of claims is not in accordance with 37 CFR 1.126 which requires the original numbering of the claims to be preserved throughout the prosecution. When claims are canceled, the remaining claims must not be renumbered. When new claims are presented, they must be numbered consecutively beginning with the number next following the highest numbered claims previously presented (whether entered or not). Claim 9 is missing.
- 3. Claim 1 is objected to because of the following informalities: "having <u>a</u> least a portion" recited in line 5. Appropriate correction is required.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1-4, 10-11, 15-16, 20-22, 27, 31-33, 38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Melendez (U.S. Patent No. 5,935,657).

Art Unit: 3752

Melendez teaches an apparatus for spraying fluid material comprising a plurality of nozzles (16), a manifold supporting the spray nozzles (14), and a handle (indicated as A) (see Fig. 1) coupled to the manifold wherein the handle has at least a portion positioned at an offset angle (a fixed angle) in relation to a longitudinal axis through the manifold (see Fig. 1). The handle includes a fluid feed tube (58) of which provides a mean fluidically coupled to at least one spray nozzle and also includes an arcuate section (see Fig. 1). The spraying apparatus includes a mean for coupling and decoupling the handle to a source of pressurized fluid by having a tee connector (52). Melendez further teaches a valve body (i.e. anti-sputter valve) coupled to at least one nozzle (68) having an intake port, a central channel, an output port, a valve seat and the biased valve head (see Fig. 2). The valve is configured to allow fluid flow through when the pressure of the fluid exceeds a bias level and preventing fluid flow through when the

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 5-8, 23-26, 34-37 and 39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Melendez.

Application/Control Number: 10/609,139

Art Unit: 3752

Melendez has all the features of the invention (as mentioned above); however, Melendez does not mentioned specifically a handle coupled to the manifold wherein the handle has at least a portion positioned at an offset angle of which is adjustable and the handle comprises a separable union. Melendez teaches a handle (indicated as A) (see Fig. 1) comprising a connector (52) for connecting the handle to the manifold at an offset angle. It would have been obvious to one skilled artisan in the art to have a swivel adaptor (30) and/or connector to provide an adjustable offset angle for the handle to create different movement for the handle relatively to the manifold. Furthermore, it would have been obvious to one skilled artisan in the art to have made the handle comprising a separable union (at 52) for coupling and decoupling the handle to a source of pressurized fluid.

6. Claims 12-14, 17-19, 28-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Melendez in view of Etheridge et al. (U.S. Patent No. 4,479,610).

Melendez has all the features of the invention (as mentioned above); however, Melendez failed to teach a mean for increasing and decreasing the bias level on the valve head by adjusting the screw changing the compression of the spring. Etheridge et al. teach a mean for increasing and decreasing the bias level on the valve head by adjusting the screw changing the compression of the spring (col. 3, lines 10-13) (see Fig. 4). It would have been obvious to one skilled artisan in the art at the time the invention was made to have a mean for increasing and decreasing the bias level on the valve head by adjusting the screw changing the compression of the spring to allow the right amount of fluid passing through the pipe to the nozzle.

Application/Control Number: 10/609,139

Art Unit: 3752

### Allowable Subject Matter

7. Claims 40-42 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

### Conclusion

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thach H Bui whose telephone number is 703-305-0063. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 7:30-4 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Mar can be reached on 703-308-2087. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

T.B. 07/10/2004

> MICHAEL MAR SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700